



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,298	03/09/2004	Masato Mitsuhashi	HITACHI.055CP2	9108
20995	7590	04/07/2010	EXAMINER	
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP			LU, FRANK WEI MIN	
2040 MAIN STREET				
FOURTEENTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
IRVINE, CA 92614			1634	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/07/2010	ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com  
efiling@kmob.com  
2ros@kmob.com

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/796,298	MITSUHASHI, MASATO	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
FRANK W. LU	1634	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** After allowance

- (1) FRANK W. LU. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.  
 (2) Mr. Jason Gersting (Reg. No. 65,890). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 23 March 2010

**Time:** 2 pm

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *Applicant asked to cancel claims 218 and 240, and amended claim 217.*

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*217, 218, and 240*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Mr. Gersting asked to cancel claims 218 and 240, and amended claim 217 and the examiner agreed with Mr. Gersting's suggestions.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Frank W Lu /  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1634

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)